# <span id="page-0-0"></span>**Inorganic Chemistry**

# POP-Pincer Silyl Complexes of Group 9: Rhodium versus Iridium

Miguel A. Esteruelas,\* Montserrat Oliván, and Andrea Vélez

Departamento de Químic[a I](#page-10-0)norgánica, Instituto de Síntesis Química y Catálisis Homogénea (ISQCH), Universidad de Zaragoza -CSIC, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-10-0)STRACT: [9,9-Dimethy](#page-10-0)l-4,5-bis(diisopropylphosphino) xanthene  $(xant(P^{i}Pr_{2})_{2})$  derivatives RhCl{xant( $P^{i}Pr_{2}$ )<sub>2</sub>} (1)

and IrHCl{xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)[<sup>i</sup>PrPCH(Me)CH<sub>2</sub>]} (2) react with diphenylsilane and triethylsilane to give the saturated  $d<sup>6</sup>$ compounds RhHCl(SiR<sub>3</sub>){xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} (SiR<sub>3</sub> = SiHPh<sub>2</sub> (3),

versus Si<sub>R</sub>

 $\mathrm{SiEt}_3^-(4))$  and IrHCl(SiR<sub>3</sub>){xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} (SiR<sub>3</sub> = SiHPh<sub>2</sub> (5), SiEt<sub>3</sub> (6)). Complexes 3 and 5 undergo a Cl/H position exchange process via the MH{xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} (M = Rh (8), Ir (E)) intermediates. The rhodium complex 3 affords the square planar d<sup>8</sup>-silyl derivative Rh(SiClPh<sub>2</sub>){xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} (7), whereas the iridium derivative 5 gives IrH<sub>2</sub>(SiClPh<sub>2</sub>){xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} (9), which is stable. In agreement with the formation of 7, the reactions of 8 with silanes are a general method to prepare square planar  $d^8$ rhodium-silyl derivatives. Thus, the addition of triethylsilane and triphenylsilane to 8 initially leads to the dihydrides  $RhH_2(SiR_3)\{xant(P^iPr_2)_2\}$  ( $SiR_3 = SiEt_3$  (10),  $SiPh_3$  (11)), which lose molecular hydrogen to afford  $Rh(SiR_3)\{xant(P^iPr_2)_2\}$  $(SiR_3 = SiEt_3$  (12),  $SiPh_3$  (13)). Treatment of 7 with NaBAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>-2H<sub>2</sub>O leads to the cationic five-coordinate d<sup>6</sup>-species  $[RhH{Si(OH)Ph}_2]{xant(P^{i}Pr}_2)_2}]BAr^F{}_4$  (14) through a silylene intermediate. According to the participation of the latter in the formation of 14, this cation is an efficient catalyst precursor for the monoalcoholysis of diphenylsilane with a wide range of alcohols, reaching turnover frequencies at 50% of conversion between 4000 and 76 500 h<sup>−1</sup>. The X-ray structures of 3, 6, 7, 9, 12, and 14 are also reported.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Complexes containing diphosphine pincer ligands are attracting increased interest because their high stability and disposition of the donor atoms allow them to develop a marked ability to form less common coordination polyhedra and favor unusual metal oxidation states. $<sup>1</sup>$  Thus, in the search for new transition-</sup> metal catalysts, more robust than those based on trans- $M(P^{i}Pr_{3})_{2}$  [m](#page-10-0)etal fragments, we synthesized the ligands 9,9dimethyl-4,5-bis(diisopropylphosphino)xanthene (xant- (Pi Pr2)2) and 4,6-bis(diisopropylphosphino)dibenzofuran (dbf-  $(P^{i}Pr_{2})_{2}$ ) three years ago.<sup>2</sup> These diphosphines were subsequently used to prepare POP-complexes of  $Os(II)$ ,  $Os(III)$ ,  $Os(IV)$ , and  $Os(VI)$ , som[e](#page-10-0) of which proved to be promising alternatives to ruthenium for the direct synthesis of imines from alcohols and amines, with liberation of molecular hydrogen,<sup>3</sup> and for the regioselective head-to-head (Z)-dimerization of terminal alkynes.<sup>4</sup> We have recently widened [o](#page-10-0)ur work to rhodium and iridium. Thus, following the previously developed methodology to [a](#page-10-0)ccess the chemistry of the trans-Rh $(\mathrm{P^iPr}_3)_2$ and  $trans\text{-}\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{P^iPr}_3)_2$  metal fragments, we performed the reactions of the dimers  $[M(\mu\text{-}Cl)(C_8H_{14})_2]_2$  (M = Rh, Ir) with  $xant(P^{i}Pr_{2})_{2}$  that afforded the square planar rhodium(I) derivative  $RhCl{xant(P^iPr_2)_2} (1)^{5}$  and the iridium(III) complex IrHCl{xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)[<sup>i</sup>PrPCH(Me)CH<sub>2</sub>]} (2) in high yields (Scheme 1).<sup>6</sup> Now, we ha[ve](#page-10-0) investigated the reactivity of 1 and 2 toward silanes.

Reactions of tr[an](#page-10-0)sition-metal complexes with silanes are of great current interest due to the relevance of  $M-SiR<sub>3</sub>$ intermediates<sup>7</sup> in transition-metal catalyzed processes such as

Scheme 1



the hydrosilylation of unsaturated organic substrates,<sup>8</sup> the direct synthesis of chlorosilanes,<sup>9</sup> and Si-H/OH coupling.<sup>10</sup> Silyl complexes containing pincer diphosphine ligands ar[e](#page-10-0) very rare, in particular for rhodiu[m](#page-10-0) and iridium. The linker [g](#page-10-0)roups encountered consist of either metalated aryl or amide units in anionic POCOP or PNP ligands or neutral  $PP_2$  triphosphines (Chart 1). Brookhart<sup>11</sup> has studied the mechanism of  $(POCOP)$ IrH<sub>2</sub>-catalyzed reduction of a variety of tertiary

Received: July 26, 2013 Published: October 2, 2013

#### Chart 1



amides with  $H_2SiEt_2$  (POCOP = 2,6-bis(di-tertbutylphophinito)phenyl) and established that the neutral silyl trihydride Ir(V) complex (POCOP)Ir $H_3(SiHEt_2)$  is the catalytically active species, whereas the highly electrophilic  $\eta^1$ silane derivative  $[(\text{POCOP})\text{IrH}(\eta^1\text{-HSiEt}_3)]^+$  catalyzes the hydrosilylation of ketones, aldehydes, esters, epoxides, allyl halides, and ethers. Tilley<sup>12</sup> has described synthetic pathways to a variety of (PNP)Ir−silyl and −silylene complexes (PNP = bis(o-diisopropylphosphi[no](#page-10-0)phenyl)amide) and explored the catalytic activation of the silylene species in the silane alcoholysis and aminolysis and for the hydrosilylation of  $k$ etones and alkenes. Ozerov $^{13}$  has provided insight into the relative thermodynamic affinity of the (PNP)Rh skeleton toward the oxidative addition [of](#page-10-0) various halosilanes and how it compares with its affinity toward addition of other species. Milstein<sup>14</sup> has reported on the synthesis of rhodium and iridium complexes containing the triphosphine ligand  ${}^{i}Pr_{2}Pr$  $(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{P}(\text{Ph}) (\text{CH}_2)_3\text{P}^i\text{Pr}_2$  (PP<sub>2</sub>) and described their reactivity toward  $HSi(SEt)$ <sub>3</sub>.

This paper reports on the similarities and differences in the behavior of the metal fragments  $Rh\{xant(P^iPr_2)_2\}$  and Ir $\{ \mathrm{xant}(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{i}}\mathrm{Pr}_2)_{2} \}$  toward silanes and on the catalytic activity of the five-coordinate  $d^6$ -complex  $[RhH{Si(OH)Ph_2}{max}$  $(P^{i}Pr_{2})_{2}$ }]BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub> in the monoalcoholysis of diphenylsilane.

### ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Saturated d<sup>6</sup>-Hydride-silyl Complexes. The square planar rhodium(I) complex RhCl{xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} (1) oxidatively adds the Si−H bond of silanes. Thus, at room temperature, the treatment of toluene solutions of this compound with 1.1 equiv of diphenylsilane and triethylsilane leads to the saturated  $d<sup>6</sup>$ hydride-silyl derivatives RhHCl(SiR<sub>3</sub>){xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} (SiR<sub>3</sub> = SiHPh<sub>2</sub> (3), SiEt<sub>3</sub> (4)), which were isolated as white solids in 81% (3) and 56% (4) yield, according to eq 1.





Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of complex 3 (50% probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms (except hydride and Si−H) are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths  $(\hat{A})$  and angles (deg): Rh–Cl = 2.4367(9), Rh–  $P(1) = 2.2885(9)$ , Rh– $P(2) = 2.3046(9)$ , Rh– $Si(1) = 2.2660(10)$ ,  $Rh-O(1) = 2.384(2); P(1) - Rh-P(2) = 160.27(3), P(1) - Rh-O(1)$  $= 80.83(6)$ , P(2)–Rh–O(1) = 79.58(6), Si(1)–Rh–O(1) = 158.32(6).

coordination of the diphosphine, the Rh(POP) skeleton is Tshaped with the rhodium atom situated in the common vertex and  $P(1) - Rh - P(2)$ ,  $P(1) - Rh - O(1)$ , and  $P(2) - Rh - O(1)$ angles of  $160.27(3)$ °,  $80.83(6)$ °, and  $79.58(6)$ °, respectively. So, the coordination geometry around the metal center can be rationalized as a distorted octahedron with the silyl group transdisposed to the oxygen atom of the diphosphine (Si(1)−Rh−  $O(1) = 158.32(6)°$  and the hydride *trans*-disposed to the chloride ligand. This ligand disposition is consistent with a concerted cis-addition of the Si−H bond along the O−Rh−Cl axis of 1 with the silyl group directed toward the chloride ligand.<sup>15</sup> The Rh−Si bond length of 2.2660(10) Å compares well with Rh(III)−Si single bond distances previously report[ed](#page-10-0).<sup>16</sup>

The <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H}, and <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of 3 and 4, in benzene- $d_{6}$  $d_{6}$ , at room temperature are consistent with the structure shown in Figure 1. Thus, the  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR spectra show hydride resonances at −15.90 (3) and −16.29 (4) ppm which appear as double triplets with H−Rh and H−P coupling constants of about 24 and 15 Hz, respectively. In agreement with equivalent  $\text{P}^{\text{i}}\text{Pr}_2$  groups, the  $\text{^{31}P}\{\text{^{1}H}\}$  NMR spectra contain at 42.9 (3) and 41.6 (4) ppm doublets with P−Rh coupling constants of 112 and 120 Hz, respectively. In the  $^{29}Si$ <sup>[1</sup>H] NMR spectra, the silyl groups display at 4.1 (3) and 40.3 (4) ppm double triplets with Si−Rh and Si−P coupling constants of 36 and 13 Hz (3) and 32 and 11 Hz (4).

Iridium is more reducing than rhodium and shows higher tendency to form coordinatively saturated compounds.<sup>6,17</sup> As a consequence, the iridium dimer  $[\text{Ir}(\mu\text{-Cl})(C_8H_{14})_2]_2$  reacts with xant $(P^{i}P_{r_2})_2$  to afford the saturated d<sup>6</sup> complex 2 [\(Sch](#page-10-0)eme 1). However, the latter undergoes demetalation by reductive elimination to act as a synthon of the unsaturated  $d^8$  species [Ir](#page-0-0)Cl{xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} (**A**),<sup>6</sup> the iridium(I) counterpart of 1. Thus, at room temperature, the treatment of toluene solutions of 2 with 1.1 equiv of di[p](#page-10-0)henylsilane and triethylsilane affords IrHCl(SiR<sub>3</sub>) $\{ \text{xant}(P^i Pr_2)_{2} \}$  (SiR<sub>3</sub> = SiHPh<sub>2</sub> (5), SiEt<sub>3</sub> (6)), the

iridium counterparts of 3 and 4, which were isolated as white solids in 41% (5) and 68% (6) yield, according to Scheme 2.

## Scheme 2



Complex 6 was characterized by X-ray diffraction analysis. Figure 2 gives a view of its structure. Like for 3 the M(POP)



Figure 2. ORTEP diagram of complex 6 (50% probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms (except hydride) are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Ir–Cl = 2.4733(12), Ir–P(1) = 2.3012(12), Ir−P(2) = 2.2968(12), Ir−Si = 2.3402(14), Ir−O 2.374(3);  $P(1)-Ir-P(2) = 155.00(4)$ ,  $P(1)-Ir-O = 80.19(8)$ ,  $P(2)-Ir-O = 77.45(9),$  Si $-Ir-O = 179.66(9).$ 

skeleton is T-shaped with the iridium atom situated in the common vertex and P(1)−Ir−P(2), P(1)−Ir−O, and P(2)− Ir−O angles of 155.00(4)°, 80.19(8)°, and 77.45(9)°, respectively. Thus, the octahedral coordination polyhedron around the metal center is as that of the rhodium analogue, with the silyl group trans to the oxygen atom of the diphosphine (Si-Ir-O = 179.66(9)°), whereas the hydride lies trans to the chloride ligand. The Ir−Si bond length of  $2.3402(14)$  Å agrees well with those reported for other Ir(III)- $SiR<sub>3</sub>$  derivatives.<sup>18</sup>

The  $^{1}H,~^{31}P\{^{1}H\},$  and  $^{29}Si\{^{1}H\}$  NMR spectra of 5 and 6, in benzene- $d_6$ , at r[oo](#page-10-0)m temperature are consistent with those of 3 and 4 and with the structure shown in Figure 2. The presence of a hydride ligand in these compounds is strongly supported by the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra, which contain at  $-19.91$  (5) and −20.06 (6) ppm triplets with H−P coupling constants of 14.5 and 16.0 Hz, respectively. As expected for equivalent  $P^i Pr_2$ groups, the  $\mathrm{^{31}P}\{\mathrm{^1H}\}$  NMR spectra show singlets at 29.9 (5) and 29.0 (6) ppm. The silyl groups display at −36.3 (5) and −7.6 (6) ppm triplets with Si−P coupling constants of 10 and 8 Hz, respectively, in the <sup>29</sup>Si ${^1\text{H}}$  NMR spectra.

Cl/H Position Exchange. The diphenylsilyl complex 3 is unstable in solution. In toluene at 50 °C, it releases molecular

hydrogen to give the rhodium(I) derivative  $Rh(SiClPh<sub>2</sub>)(xant (P^{i}Pr_{2})_{2}$  (7), which contains a chlorodiphenylsilyl group resulting from an additional migration of the chloride ligand from the metal center to the silicon atom. Under these conditions, the reaction is quantitative after 5 days, as judged by  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{31}P\{{}^{1}H\}$  NMR spectroscopy.

Complex 7 was isolated as red crystals in 95% yield and characterized by X-ray diffraction analysis. Figure 3 shows a



Figure 3. ORTEP diagram of complex 7 (50% probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Rh−P(1) = 2.2664(8), Rh−P(2) = 2.2728(7), Rh−Si =  $2.2581(8)$ , Rh–O = 2.2609(18); P(1)–Rh–P(2) = 161.97(3), P(1)–  $Rh-O = 81.25(5), P(2) - Rh-O = 81.19(5), Si-Rh-O = 179.35(6).$ 

view of its structure. The coordination geometry around the rhodium atom is almost square planar $19$  with the diphosphine coordinated in the expected pincer fashion  $(P(1)-Rh-P(2)$  = 161.97(3)°, P(1)−Rh−O = 81.2[5\(5](#page-11-0))°, P(2)−Rh−O =  $81.19(5)°$ ) and the silyl group trans disposed to the oxygen atom of the diphosphine (Si–Rh–O = 179.35(6)°). The greatest deviation from the best plane through the rhodium, silicon,  $P(1)$ , oxygen, and  $P(2)$  atoms is 0.0506(4) Å for Rh. The Rh−Si bond length of 2.2581(8) Å compares well with those reported for the few Rh(I)-silyl complexes characterized by X-ray diffraction analysis.<sup>19,20</sup> In agreement with the high symmetry of the molecule, the  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C\{ {}^{1}H\}$  NMR spectra show two signals for the [meth](#page-11-0)yl groups of the phosphine isopropyl substituents  $(\delta^1_{H}$ , 1.19 and 1.01;  $\delta^{13}_{\ \ C}$ , 20.3 and 17.9) and a signal for the methyl substituents of the central heterocycle  $(\delta^1_{H}$ , 1.24;  $\delta^{13}_{C}$ , 31.0), whereas the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum contains at 49.4 ppm a doublet with a P−Rh coupling constant of 153.1 Hz. The silyl group displays at 49.2 ppm a double triplet with Si−Rh and Si−P coupling constants of 82 and 22 Hz, respectively, in the  $^{29}Si{^1H}$  NMR spectrum.

The formation of 7 could be rationalized according to pathways  $a$  and  $b$  of Scheme 3. The reductive elimination of  $HSiClPh<sub>2</sub>$  from 3 should initially afford the previously described square planar rhodium(I) der[iv](#page-3-0)ative  $\text{RhH}\{\text{xant}(\text{P}^{\text{ip}}\text{r}_2)_2\}$   $(8)$ .<sup>6</sup> The oxidative addition of the H−Si bond of HSiClPh<sub>2</sub> along the O−Rh−H axis of 8 with the silyl group directed toward th[e](#page-10-0) oxygen atom of the diphosphine should lead to the cisdihydride intermediate  $B$  (pathway  $a$ ), while the same addition with the silyl group on the hydride ligand should afford the trans-dihydride intermediate  $C$  (pathway  $b$ ). The reductive elimination of molecular hydrogen from B would be a fast

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>Scheme 3



reaction given the cis-orientation of the hydride ligands and the marked preference of the  $Rh\{xant(P^iPr_2)_2\}$  skeleton for the unsaturated  $d^8$  species. However, the *trans*-disposition of the hydride ligands in C prevents the three-centered transition state for their reductive elimination. So, the loss of molecular hydrogen requires the previous dissociation of the oxygen atom of the diphosphine, which should became bidentate.<sup>21</sup> The dissociation of the oxygen atom of the diphosphine should allow the formation of the dihydrogen intermed[iat](#page-11-0)e or transition state D, which could easily give 7.

Complex 5, the iridium counterpart of 3, is also unstable in solution. In toluene at 50 °C, it evolves into the *trans*-dihydride Ir $\text{H}_2(\text{SiClPh}_2)\{\text{xant}(\text{P^iPr}_2)_2\}$   $\bf(9)$  that is the iridium counterpart of intermediate C (Scheme 3). The transformation is quantitative after 2 days, as judged by  ${}^{1}\mathrm{H}$  and  ${}^{31}\mathrm{P}{}^{\{1}\mathrm{H}\}$  NMR spectroscopy. The formation of 9 can be rationalized in a similar manner to that of C via a square planar iridium $(I)$ monohydride intermediate Ir $\mathrm{H}\{\mathrm{xant}(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{i}}\mathrm{Pr}_2)_2\}$  (E), analogous to 8, which should be generated by reductive elimination of HSiClPh2. Thus, the subsequent oxidative addition of the H−Si bond of the formed silane along the O−Ir−H axis of E with the silyl group directed toward the hydride ligand could afford the trans-dihydride (Scheme 4). In favor of this proposal, we have observed that the addition of 2.0 equiv of diphenylsilane to a benzene- $d_6$  solution of 6 in an NMR tube at 50 °C affords 9, via  $5$ , and HSiEt<sub>3</sub>. In this context, it should be noted that complex 9 is more stable than 5 in spite of the destabilization produced by the strong trans influence of the hydride ligands mutually trans disposed.<sup>22</sup> This fact is consistent with density functional theory (DFT) calculations on the model complexes  $\text{Os}(SiR_3)$ - $Cl(CO)(PH_3)$ <sub>[2](#page-11-0)</sub> (R = F, Cl, OH, Me), which have revealed that a linear combination of Si-R  $\sigma^*$  orbitals is responsible for some π-acceptor capacity of the silyl group. This component increases its contribution to the bond as the electronegativity of the substituent at the silicon atom also increases.<sup>23</sup> The difference in behavior between rhodium and iridium in this Cl/ H position exchange process agrees well with the [h](#page-11-0)igher preference of iridium for the saturated  $d^6$  species.<sup>6,17</sup>

The trans-dihydride 9 was isolated as a white solid in 60% yield and characterized by X-ray diffraction [ana](#page-10-0)lysis. An ORTEP drawing of the molecule is shown in Figure 4. The structure proves the Cl/H position exchange and the trans disposition of the hydride ligands  $(H(01) - Ir - H(02) =$  $(H(01) - Ir - H(02) =$  $(H(01) - Ir - H(02) =$  $173(3)°$ ). The coordination polyhedron around the iridium

Scheme 4



atom can be rationalized as a distorted octahedron with the diphosphine *mer*-coordinated  $(P(1)-Ir-P(2) = 159.01(4)°$ , P(1)−Ir−O = 81.04(7)°, P(2)−Ir−O = 80.77(7)°) and the silyl group trans disposed to the oxygen atom of the diphosphine (Si−Ir−O = 167.72(7)°). The Ir−Si bond length of  $2.2655(11)$  Å compares well with that of 6.

The <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H}, and <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra in benzene- $d_6$ , at room temperature, are consistent with the structure shown in Figure 4. In agreement with the presence of trans-hydrides, the H NMR spectrum shows at −4.90 ppm a triplet with a H−P coupli[ng](#page-4-0) constant of 16.6 Hz. As expected for equivalent  $\text{P}^{\text{i}}\text{Pr}_{2}$ groups, the  ${}^{31}P{^1H}$  NMR spectrum contains at 47.9 ppm a singlet. The silyl group displays at −4.8 ppm a triplet with a Si− P coupling constant of 20 Hz in the  $^{29}Si{^{1}H}$  NMR spectrum.

Square-Planar Rhodium(I)-silyl Complexes. The formation of 7 according to Scheme 3 suggested that the monohydride 8 should be an efficient starting material to prepare square planar rhodium(I)-silyl derivatives in a general manner. The addition of 1.1 equiv of triethylsilane and

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Figure 4. ORTEP diagram of complex 9 (50% probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms (except hydrides) are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Ir–P(1) = 2.2811(10), Ir–P(2) = 2.2704(10), Ir–Si = 2.2654(11); P(1)–Ir–P(2) = 159.01(4), P(1)– Ir−O = 81.04(7), P(2)−Ir−O = 80.77(7), Si−Ir−O = 167.72(7),  $H(01)$ -Ir-H $(02) = 173(3)$ .

triphenylsilane to the toluene solutions of 8 leads to the transdihydride derivatives  $RhH_2(SiR_3)\{xant(P^iPr_2)_2\}$  (Si $R_3 = SiEt_3$ (10), SiPh<sub>3</sub> (11)), which release molecular hydrogen to afford the rhodium(I) complexes  $Rh(SiR_3)\{xant(P^iPr_2)_2\}$  (SiR<sub>3</sub> =  $SiEt_3 (12)$ ,  $SiPh_3 (13)$ . The reductive elimination of molecular hydrogen could take place in a similar manner to those shown in Scheme 3 or via a protonation−dehydrogenation mechanism, as reported by Brookhart for a related PNP-Ir system, $^{24}$ catal[y](#page-3-0)zed by traces of  $H_2O$ . The trend of 10 and 11 to lose molecular hydrogen is in contrast with the behavior of 4 a[nd](#page-11-0)  $\text{RhH}_{2}\text{Cl}\{\text{xant}(\text{P}^{\text{i}}\text{Pr}_{2})_{2}\}$  and consistent with the inertness of the monohydride 8 toward molecular hydrogen.<sup>6</sup>

#### Scheme 5



The dihydride intermediates 10 and 11 were spectroscopically detected and fully characterized when the reactions were performed in a NMR tube at 258 K. Their <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra show at  $-5.63$  (10) and  $-5.02$  (11) ppm double triplets, with H−Rh and H−P coupling constants of 17.6 and 18.0 (10) Hz and 19.3 and 16.1 (11) Hz, corresponding to the hydride ligands. The  ${}^{31}P\{^1H\}$  NMR spectra contain at 61.6 (10) and 59.3 (11) ppm doublets with Rh−P coupling constants of 126 and 120 Hz, respectively. The silyl groups display at 33.0 (10) and 9.4 (11) ppm double triplets, with Si−Rh and Si−P coupling constants of 33 and 9  $(10)$  Hz and 42 and 12  $(11)$ Hz, in the <sup>29</sup>Si $\{^1H\}$  NMR spectra.

The square planar complexes 12 and 13 were isolated as red solids in a 58% and 81% yield, respectively. The triethylsilyl derivative 12 was characterized by X-ray diffraction analysis. Figure 5 shows an ORTEP drawing of the molecule. Like for 7, the coordination geometry around the rhodium atom is almost



Figure 5. ORTEP diagram of complex 12 (50% probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Rh−P(1) = 2.2541(8), Rh−P(2) = 2.2573(8), Rh−Si = 2.3090(8), Rh–O = 2.3149(18); P(1)–Rh–P(2) = 158.51(3), P(1)–  $Rh-O = 81.39(5), P(2)-Rh-O = 81.57(5), Si-Rh-O = 170.0(5).$ 

square planar with the diphosphine coordinated in a merfashion  $(P(1)-Rh-(2) = 158.51(3)°, P(1)-Rh-O =$ 81.39(5)°, P(2)–Rh–O = 81.57(5)°) and the silyl group trans disposed to the oxygen atom of the diphosphine (Si−Rh−  $O = 170.0(5)°$ ). In this case, the greatest deviation from the best plane through the rhodium, silicon,  $P(1)$ , oxygen, and  $P(2)$ atoms is 0.1198(4) Å for Rh. The Rh−Si bond length of 2.3090(8) Å compares well with that of 7. In agreement with Figure 5, the  ${}^{31}{\rm P}$ {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of 12 and 13 contain at 46.0 and 44.7 ppm doublets with P−Rh coupling constants of 171 and 159  $\overline{H}z$ , respectively, whereas the <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra show at  $25.0(12)$  and  $14.4(13)$  ppm double triplets with Si−Rh and Si−P coupling constants of 54 and 19 (12) Hz and 66 and 21 (13) Hz.

Catalytic Monoalcoholysis of  $Ph<sub>2</sub>SiH<sub>2</sub>$ . The square planar rhodium(I) complex 7 is the entry to an interesting rhodium(III)-silylol species. Treatment of its fluorobenzene solutions with 1.2 equiv of  $NabAr_{4}^{F}$ -2H<sub>2</sub>O ( $Ar_{4}^{F}$  = 3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl), at room temperature, for 1 h results in chloride abstraction from the silyl group and the formation of the five-coordinate hydride-silylol derivative  $\left[ RhH\{Si(OH)Ph_2\}\left\{xant(P^iPr_2)_2\right\}\right]BAr^F_4$  (14). Its formation can be rationalized according to Scheme 6. The chloride abstraction should initially afford the silylene intermediate F, which could subsequently undergo the heter[oly](#page-5-0)tic addition of an O−H bond of water to give the hydride-silylol derivative.

Complex 14 was isolated as a white solid in 76% yield and characterized by X-ray diffraction analysis. The structure proves its formation. Figure 6 shows a view of the cation of the salt. The geometry around the rhodium atom can be described as a distorted trigonal bip[yra](#page-5-0)mid with the PPr<sub>2</sub> groups of the pincer in apical positions  $(P(1)-Rh-P(2) = 157.16(3)°, P(1)-Rh O(1) = 83.47(5)$ °, P(2)–Rh–O(1) = 84.13(5)°) and inequivalent angles within the Y-shaped equatorial plane  $(O(1)-Rh-Si = 138.62(5)°, O(1)-Rh-H(01) =$ 156.0(12)°, and Si–Rh–H(01) = 65.3(12)°). The Rh–Si bond length of  $2.3000(8)$  Å is about 0.03 Å longer than that of the saturated rhodium(III) derivative 3. In agreement with the

#### Scheme 6

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 6. ORTEP diagram of the cation of complex 14 (50% probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms (except hydride and O−H) are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg):  $Rh-P(1) = 2.3165(7)$ ,  $Rh-P(2) = 2.2794(7)$ ,  $Rh-Si = 2.3000(8)$ ,  $Rh-O(1) = 2.1859(17); P(1)-Rh-P(2) = 157.16(3), P(1)-Rh O(1) = 83.47(5)$ , P(2)–Rh– $O(1) = 84.13(5)$ , O(1)–Rh–Si = 138.62(5), Si–Rh–H(01) = 65.3(12), O(1)–Rh–H(01) = 156.0(12).

presence of the hydride ligand, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, in dichloromethane- $d_2$ , at 233 K shows at −17.43 ppm a double triplet with H−Rh and H−P coupling constants of 39.4 and 12.5 Hz, respectively, whereas the OH- resonance appears at 3.25 ppm as a broad singlet. As expected for equivalent  $P^i Pr_2$ groups coordinated to a  $\text{Rh(III)}$  center, the  $\text{^{31}P}\{\text{^1H}\}$  NMR spectrum at 233 K contains at 52.1 ppm a doublet with a P−Rh coupling constant of 119 Hz. The silylol group displays at 26.1 ppm a double triplet with Si−Rh and Si−P coupling constants of 32 and 7 Hz, respectively, in the  $^{29}Si{^{1}H}$  NMR spectrum at 233 K.

Tilley has demonstrated that iridium-silylene complexes are active catalyst for the alcoholysis of silanes.<sup>12c</sup> This prompted us to investigate the alcoholysis of the diphenylsilane promoted by 14 (eq 2), since its formation seems to [tak](#page-10-0)e place through the silylene intermediate F. The reactions were performed in toluene at 32 °C, using 0.17 mol % of catalyst and silane and alcohol concentrations of 0.3 M. Under these conditions, alkoxysilanes  $HSi(OR)Ph<sub>2</sub>$  were selectively formed in quantitative yield, after seconds or a few minutes, with spectacularly high turnover frequencies at 50% conversion  $(TOF<sub>50%</sub>)$ , which range from 4000 to 76 500  $h^{-1}$ , and isolated in high yields between 71% and 92% (Table 1).

$$
H_2SiPh_2 + ROH \xrightarrow{\text{0.17 mol% 14}} HSi(OR)Ph_2 + H_2
$$
 (2)

Table 1. Monoalcoholysis of  $H_2SiPh_2$  Catalyzed by [RhH{Si(OH)Ph<sub>2</sub>}{xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}]BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub> (14)<sup>a</sup>

Run	Alcohol	Product	$TOF_{50\%}$ $(h^{-1})$	Isolated yield $(\%)$
$\mathbf 1$	methanol	Ph Ph Ĥ	25300	89
$\overline{c}$	ethanol	Рh Ph	44000	87
3	1-butanol	Ph	53000	83
$\overline{4}$	1-octanol	$Ph_{\angle}$ Ph	63600	71
5	benzyl alcohol	Ph	25000	71
6	2-propanol	Ph	76500	92
$\overline{7}$	cyclohexylalcohol	Ph Ph	62800	75
8	t-butanol	Ph	18000	81
9	phenol	Ph Ph Ō	4000	72

<sup>a</sup>0.17 mol % 14, 0.3 M H<sub>2</sub>SiPh<sub>2</sub>, 0.3 M alcohol in toluene (5 mL) at 32 °C. Turnover frequencies [(mol product/mol Rh)/time] were calculated at 50% conversion.

Primary, secondary, and tertiary alcohols, as well as phenol were successfully used. For primary alcohols, the reaction rates increase as the length of the carbon chain increases, that is, in the sequence methanol (run  $1$ ) < ethanol (run  $2$ ) < 1-butanol (run 3) < 1-octanol (run 4). The secondary alcohols 2 propanol (run 6) and cyclohexanol (run 7) yield the corresponding alkoxysilanes with  $TOF<sub>50%</sub>$  values similar or higher than 1-octanol. Although the reactions with the tertiary t-butanol (run 8) and with phenol (run 9) are also very efficient, these substrates afford the lowest  $TOF<sub>50%</sub>$  values, 18 000  $\rm h^{-1}$  and 4000  $\rm h^{-1}$ , respectively.

The selective monoalcoholysis of the silane is notable and fully consistent with the participation of a silylene species as key

#### Scheme 7



intermediate of the process. The nucleophilic attack of the alcohol to an  $\eta^2$ -silane complex,  $M(\eta^2-H-SiR_3)$ , has been considered an alternative manner of generating silylethers.<sup>25</sup> However, such possibility cannot justify the selectivity observed in this case. Furthermore, it should imply the heteroly[tic](#page-11-0) cleavage of the H−Si bond, which should require an electrophilic metal center, and this does not seem to be the case of  $Rh(I).^{26}$  It should be also noted that in contrast to standard methods involving the treatment of silylchloride with an alcohol i[n](#page-11-0) the presence of a base that generates hydrochloride salts byproducts, $27$  this process is environmentally benign and occurs with liberation of molecular hydrogen.

The catalysis can be rationalized according to Scheme 7. The reductive elimination of  $HSi(OH)Ph_2$  from 14 could initially afford the rhodium $(I)$  intermediate  $G$ , which should oxidatively add diphenylsilane to give H. Thus, the elimination of molecular hydrogen from the latter through I could lead to the silylene F, which should form J in a similar manner as 14. Then, the reductive elimination of the alkoxysilane from J could generate G again.

### ■ CONCLUDING REMARKS

This study has revealed that the square planar d<sup>8</sup>-complexes  $MCl$ {xant $(P^{i}Pr_{2})_{2}$ } (M = Rh, Ir) oxidatively add the Si–H bond of tertiary and secondary silanes, along the O−M−Cl axis with the silyl group directed toward the chloride ligand, to give  $d^6$ -chloro-hydride-silyl derivatives MHCl(SiR<sub>3</sub>){xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} and MHCl $(SiHR_2)\{xant(P^iPr_2)_2\}$ . Complexes containing secondary silyl groups undergo a Cl/H position exchange to afford dihydride-chlorosilyl compounds  $\text{MH}_2(\text{SiClR}_2)\{\text{xant}(\text{P}^{\text{i}}\text{Pr}_2)_2\},$ through  $CI-SiHR$ <sub>2</sub> reductive elimination and subsequent H-

SiClR<sub>2</sub> oxidative addition to  $MH{xant(P^iPr_2)_2}$  intermediates. According to the higher oxidizing character of the 4d metals, the rhodium species lose molecular hydrogen and, as a consequence, the addition of the H−Si bond of silanes to the square planar monohydride complex RhH{xant(P<sup>ip</sup>r<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} leads to square planar d<sup>8</sup>-silyl compounds  $Rh(SiR_3)\{xant(P^iPr_2)_2\}$ , in a general manner, through the corresponding  $d<sup>6</sup>$  intermediates  $\mathrm{MH}_2(\mathrm{SiR}_3)\{\mathrm{xant}(\mathrm{P^iPr}_2)_2\}$ . Interestingly, the abstraction of chloride from  $Rh(SiClPh_2)\{xant(P^iPr_2)_2\}$  in the presence of water yields the five-coordinate  $d^{\delta}$ -species [RhH{Si(OH)Ph<sub>2</sub>}-{xant(P<sup>i</sup> Pr2)2}]+ , as a result of the addition of an O−H bond of water to the Rh−Si double bond of a silylene intermediate. In agreement with the participation of the latter in the formation of this cationic compound, it is a very efficient catalyst precursor for the selective monoalcoholysis of diphenylsilane that reaches turnover frequencies (TOF) at 50% of conversion up to 76 500  $h^{-1}$ . .

In conclusion, the  $Rh\{xant(P^iPr_2)_2\}$  metal fragment favors unsaturated d $^{8}$ -square planar, Rh $(SiR_3)\{xant(P^iPr_2)_2\}$ , and d $^{6}$ five coordinate,  $\text{[RhH}\{\text{Si(OH)Ph}_2\}\{\text{xant}(P^i\text{Pr}_2)_2\}\}^+,$  silyl complexes whereas the  $Ir\{xant(P^iPr_2)_2\}$  metal fragment stabilizes saturated  $d^6$ -silyl derivatives, IrHCl(SiR<sub>3</sub>){xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} and Ir $H_2(SiR_3)\{xant(P^ip_{r_2})_2\}$ . The stabilization of saturated d<sup>6</sup>- $Rh{xant(P^iPr_2)_2}$  species seems to need the presence of a coordinated  $\pi$ -donor ligand such as chloride.

# **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Information. All reactions were carried out with rigorous exclusion of air using Schlenk-tube techniques. Solvents (except fluorobenzene that was dried and distilled under argon) were obtained oxygen- and water-free from an MBraun solvent purification apparatus. The alcohols used in the catalytic reactions were dried by standard procedures and distilled under argon prior to use.  $^1\mathrm{H}$ ,  $^{13}\mathrm{C} \{^1$ procedures and distilled under argon prior to use.  ${}^{1}H$ ,  ${}^{13}C\{{}^{1}H\}$ , and  ${}^{29}Si\{{}^{1}H\}$  NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 300 ARX, Bruker Avance 300 MHz and Bruker Avance 400 MHz instruments. Chemical shifts (expressed in parts per million) are referenced to residual solvent peaks  $(^1\text{H}, ^{13}\text{C} \{ ^1\text{H}\})$ , external 85%  $\rm H_3PO_4$  (<sup>31</sup> $\rm P^{\{1\}}H$ }), or external SiMe<sub>4</sub> (<sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H}). Coupling constants *J* and N are given in hertz. Attenuated total reflection infrared spectra (ATR-IR) of solid samples were run on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR spectrometer. C, H, and N analyses were carried out in a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHNS/O analyzer. High-resolution electrospray mass spectra were acquired using a MicroTOF-Q hybrid quadrupole time-of-flight spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany).  $\text{Xant}(\text{P}^{\text{i}}\text{Pr}_{2})_{2}^{\ 2}$  IrHCl{xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)[<sup>1</sup>PrPCH(Me)CH<sub>2</sub>]} (2)<sub>1</sub><sup>6</sup> RhCl<sub>2</sub>  $\{\text{xant}(P^i Pr_2)_2\}$  (1), RhH $\{\text{xant}(P^i Pr_2)_2\}$  (8), and NaBAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>28</sup> were prepare[d](#page-10-0) by published methods.

Reaction of R[hC](#page-10-0)l{xant( $P^i Pr_2$ )<sub>2</sub>} (1) wit[h H](#page-10-0)<sub>2</sub>SiPh<sub>2</sub>: Pre[pa](#page-10-0)rati[on](#page-11-0)<br>of RhHCl(SiHPh<sub>2</sub>){xant( $P^i Pr_2$ )<sub>2</sub>} (3). Diphenylsilane (109  $\mu$ L, 0.57 mmol) was added to a solution of 1 (300 mg, 0.52 mmol) in toluene (3 mL). After the resulting solution was stirred for 10 min at room temperature, it was evaporated to dryness to afford a white residue. Addition of pentane afforded a white solid that was washed with pentane  $(2 \times 3 \text{ mL})$  and dried in vacuo. Yield: 320.0 mg  $(81\%)$ . Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{39}H_{52}CIOP_2RhSi$ : C, 61.21; H, 6.85. Found: C, 60.75; H, 6.72. HRMS (electrospray,  $m/z$ ): calcd. for  $C_{39}H_{52}OP_2RhSi$  [M – Cl]<sup>+</sup>: 729.2312; found: 729.2352. IR (neat compound, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν(Rh-H) 2097 (w); ν(Si−H) 2054 (m); ν(C−O−C) 1093 (m). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.13 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ , 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 8.35 (d, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.4, 4H, CH SiHPh<sub>2</sub>), 7.33 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.4, 4H, CH SiHPh<sub>2</sub>), 7.21 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.4, 2H, CH SiHPh<sub>2</sub>), 7.13 (d, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.6, 2H, CH-arom), 7.07 (m, 2H, CH-arom), 6.88 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.6, 2H, CH-arom), 6.13 (t,  $J_{H-P}$  = 11.2, 1H, Si-H), 2.40 (m, 2H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.96 (m, 2H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.51 (dvt,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.2, N = 14.4, 6H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.32 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.24 (dvt,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.9, N = 16.4, 6H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.20 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.11 (dvt,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.6, N = 15.8, 6H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.78 (dvt,  $J_{H-H}$  = 6.5, N =

12.9, 6H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), −15.90 (dt, J<sub>H−Rh</sub> = 24.5, J<sub>H−P</sub> = 14.2, 1H, Rh−H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.61 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 154.1 (vt, N = 11.1, C-arom), 142.9 (t,  $J_{C-P} = 1.5$ , C-arom, SiHPh<sub>2</sub>), 137.2 (s, CHarom SiHPh<sub>2</sub>), 132.2 (vt,  $N = 5.0$ , C-arom), 131.1 (s, CH-arom SiHPh<sub>2</sub>), 128.3 (s, CH-arom), 128.0 (s, CH-arom), 127.4 (s, CH-arom SiHPh<sub>2</sub>), 124.0 (vt,  $N = 5.2$ , CH-arom), 123.1 (vt,  $N = 26.5$ , C-arom), 34.6 (s,  $C(CH_3)_{2}$ ), 34.0, 28.7 (both s,  $C(CH_3)_{2}$ ), 27.1 (vt,  $N = 22.5$ , PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 26.6 (dvt, J<sub>C−Rh</sub> = 2.3, N = 26.7, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 22.5 (s, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 19.6 (s, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 19.3 (vt, N = 6.3, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 17.0 (s, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>).  ${}^{31}_{2}P{^1H}$  NMR (161.98 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 42.9 (d,  $J_{\rm P-Rh} = 112$ ). <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H<sub>2</sub> NMR (59.63 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 4.1 (dt,  $J_{\text{Si-Rh}} = 36$ ,  $J_{\text{Si-P}} = 13$ ).

Reaction of RhCl{xant(P<sup>ip</sup>r<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} (1) with HSiEt<sub>3</sub>: Preparation of **RhHCl(SiEt<sub>3</sub>){xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} (4).** Triethylsilane (42  $\mu$ L, 0.25 mmol) was added to a solution of 1 (134 mg, 0.23 mmol) in toluene (3 mL). After the resulting solution was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, it was evaporated to dryness to afford a white residue. Addition of pentane afforded a white solid that was washed with pentane  $(2 \times 1)$ mL) and dried in vacuo. Yield: 90.0 mg (56%). Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{33}H_{56}CIOP_2RhSi: C, 56.85; H, 8.10. Found: C, 56.80; H, 8.10.$ HRMS (electrospray,  $m/z$ ): calcd. for  $C_{33}H_{56}OP_2RhSi$  [M – Cl]<sup>+</sup>: 661.2625; found: 661.2750. IR (cm<sup>−1</sup>):  $\nu(\rm{Rh–H})$  2094 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.13 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ , 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 7.20 (m, 2H, CH-arom), 7.11 (d, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.6, 2H, CH-arom), 6.89 (t, JH−<sup>H</sup> = 7.6, 2H, CH-arom), 2.86 (m, 2H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 2.20 (m, 2H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.57 (dvt, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.4, N = 15.6, 6H, PCH $(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.45 (m, 6H PCH $(CH_3)_2$  + 6H Si- $(CH_2CH_3)_3$ , 1.37 (t, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 6.8, 9H, Si(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.31 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.20 (dvt, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.6, N = 16.8, 6H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.18 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.85 (dvt, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 6.6, N = 13.0, 6H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), -16.29 (dt,  $J_{H-Rh} = 23.9, J_{H-P} = 15.7, 1H, Rh-H$ ). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.61 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ , 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 154.4 (vt,  $N = 10.5$ , C-arom), 132.2 (vt,  $N = 4.2$ , Carom), 130.4 (s, CH-arom), 127.2 (s, CH-arom), 123.8 (s, CH-arom), 123.6 (vt,  $N = 27.2$ , C-arom), 34.6 (s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 32.7 (s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 28.0 (dvt,  $J_{C-Rh}$  = 3.4, N = 26.0, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 27.9 (s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 27.6 (vt,  $N = 19.6$ , PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 20.3 (vt,  $N = 4.4$ , PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 20.1, 20.0, 17.7 (all s, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 14.6 (s, Si(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 10.1 (s,  $\text{Si}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3)_3$ ).  ${}^{31}\text{P}({}^{1}\text{H})$  NMR (161.98 MHz,  $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$ , 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 41.6 (d,  $J_{\rm P-Rh} = 120$ ). <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (59.63 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 40.3 (dt,  $J_{\text{Si-Rh}} = 32$ ,  $J_{\text{Si-P}} = 11$ ).

Reaction of 2 with  $H_2SIPh_2$ : Preparation of IrHCl(SiHPh<sub>2</sub>)- $\{ \text{xant}(\text{P}^{\text{ip}} \text{Pr}_2)_{2} \}$  (5). Diphenylsilane  $(37 \,\mu\text{L}$ , 0.20 mmol) was added to a solution of 2 (123 mg, 0.18 mmol) in toluene (1 mL). After the resulting solution was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, it was evaporated to dryness to afford a yellowish residue. Addition of pentane afforded a white solid that was washed with pentane  $(2 \times 1)$ mL) and dried in vacuo. Yield: 65.0 mg (41%). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>52</sub>ClIrOP<sub>2</sub>Si: C, 54.82; H, 6.13. Found: C, 54.47; H, 5.98. HRMS (electrospray,  $m/z$ ): calcd. for  $C_{39}H_{51}CIOP_2IrSi$  [M – H]<sup>+</sup>: 853.2490; found: 853.2422. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu(\text{Ir}-\text{H})$  2223 (w);  $\nu(\text{Si}-\text{H})$  2035 (w);  $\nu$ (C−O−C) 1093 (m). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.08 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 293 K, δ): 8.32 (d, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.4, 4H, CH SiHPh<sub>2</sub>), 7.32 (t, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.4, 4H, CH SiHPh<sub>2</sub>), 7.19 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.4, 2H, CH SiHPh<sub>2</sub>), 7.07 (m, 4H, CH-arom), 6.86 (t, JH−<sup>H</sup> = 7.5, 2H, CH-arom), 6.02 (t, JH−<sup>P</sup> = 8.1, 1H, Si−H), 2.59 (m, 2H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 2.07 (m, 2H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.43 (dvt, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.0,  $N = 14.3$ , 6H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.32 (dvt, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.9, N = 16.3, 6H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.27 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.22 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.09 (dvt, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.7, N = 16.2, 6H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.80 (dvt,  $J_{H-H}$  = 6.7, N = 13.5, 6H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), −19.91 (t, J<sub>H−P</sub> = 14.5, 1H, Ir−H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75.46 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ , 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 154.5 (vt, N = 9.7, C-arom), 142.7 (s, C-arom, SiHPh<sub>2</sub>), 137.2 (s, CH-arom SiHPh<sub>2</sub>), 132.1 (vt,  $N = 4.8$ , Carom), 131.4 (s, CH-arom SiHPh<sub>2</sub>), 128.0 (s, CH-arom), 127.4 (s, CH-arom SiHPh<sub>2</sub>), 125.1 (vt,  $N = 32.6$ , C-arom), 124.5 (vt,  $N = 5.3$ , CH-arom), 34.4 (s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 33.0, 30.8 (both s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 27.1 (vt,  $N = 22.5$ , PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 26.6 (vt,  $N = 26.7$ , PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 20.4, 19.5, 19.3, 17.6 (all s, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (121.49 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 29.9 (s). <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (59.63 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ , 293 K,  $\delta$ ):  $-36.3$  (t,  $J_{\text{Si-P}} = 10$ ).

Reaction of 2 with  $HSIEt_3$ : Preparation of IrHCl(SiEt<sub>3</sub>){xant- $(PPr_2)_2$  (6). Triethylsilane  $(27 \mu L, 0.16 \text{ mmol})$  was added to a solution of 2 (100 mg, 0.15 mmol) in toluene (3 mL). After the resulting solution was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, it was evaporated to dryness to afford a white residue. Addition of pentane afforded a white solid that was washed with pentane  $(3 \times 2 \text{ mL})$  and dried in vacuo. Yield: 80 mg (68%). Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{33}H_{56}ClIrOP_2Si$ : C, 50.39; H, 7.18. Found: C, 50.13; H, 7.36. HRMS (electrospray, m/ z): calcd. for  $C_{33}H_{56}IrOP_2Si$  [M – Cl]<sup>+</sup> 751.3200; found: 751.3231. IR  $(cm<sup>-1</sup>)$ :  $\nu$ (Ir−H) 2227 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.13 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 7.20 (m, 2H, CH-arom), 7.07 (dd, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.6, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 1.5, 2H, CHarom), 6.87 (t, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.6, 2H, CH-arom), 3.09 (m, 2H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 2.28 (m, 2H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.59 (dvt,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.3, N = 15.7, 6H,  $PCH(CH_3)$ , 1.46−138 (m, 21H, 6H PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> + 15H Si- $(CH_2CH_3)_3$ , 1.25 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.21 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.19 (dvt, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.4, N = 15.6, 6H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.84 (dvt, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 6.9, N = 13.8, 6H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), −20.06 (t, J<sub>H−P</sub> = 16.0, 1H, Ir−H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75.47 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ , 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 154.9 (vt,  $N = 9.0$ , C-arom), 132.5 (vt, N = 4.8, C-arom), 130.6 (s, CH-arom), 127.5 (s, CH-arom), 125.6 (vt,  $N = 33.9$ , C-arom), 124.4 (vt,  $N = 4.5$ , CH-arom), 34.5 (s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 31.3, 29.9 (both s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 28.8 (vt,  $N = 32.3$ ,  $PCH(CH_3)_2)$ , 28.3 (vt,  $N = 22.9$ , PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 20.5, 19.8, 19.7, 18.4 (all s, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 13.2 (s,  $Si(CH_2CH_3)_3$ ), 10.4 (s,  $Si(CH_2CH_3)_3$ ). <sup>31</sup> $P{^1H}$  NMR (121.49 MHz,  $C_6D_6$  293 K,  $\delta$ ): 29.0 (s). <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (59.63 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ , 293 K,  $\delta$ ): -7.6 (t,  $J_{Si-P} = 8$ ).

Preparation of Rh(SiClPh<sub>2</sub>){xant(P<sup>ip</sup>r<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} (7). A Schlenk flask provided with a Teflon closure was charged with a solution of 3 (300 mg, 0.39 mmol) in toluene (3 mL) under argon atmosphere. After being stirred for 5 days at 50 °C (the reaction was periodically checked by  ${}^{1}\mathrm{\dot{H}}$  and  ${}^{31}\mathrm{P}{}^{\{1}\mathrm{H}\}$  NMR spectroscopy) the resulting red solution was evaporated to dryness. Pentane was added to afford an orange solid, which was washed with pentane  $(2 \times 3 \text{ mL})$  and dried in vacuo. Yield: 284 mg (95%). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>50</sub>ClOP<sub>2</sub>RhSi: C, 61.38; H, 6.60. Found: C, 61.52; H, 6.55. HRMS (electrospray, m/z) calcd. for  $C_{39}H_{51}CIOP_2RhSi: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 763.1922$ ; found: 763.1936. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu$ (C−O−C) 1091 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.13 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, 293 K, δ): 8.40 (d,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.3, 4H, CH SiClPh<sub>2</sub>), 7.33 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.3, 4H, CH SiClPh<sub>2</sub>), 7.22 (t, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.3, 2H, CH SiClPh<sub>2</sub>), 7.16 (m, 2H, CHarom), 7.08 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.5, 2H, CH-arom), 6.87 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.5, 2H, CH-arom), 2.27 (m, 4H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.24 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.19 (dvt,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.7, N = 15.4, 12H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.01 (dvt,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.2, N = 13.4, 12H, PCH $(CH_3)_2$ ). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75.46 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 293 K, δ): 155.7 (vt, N = 13.6, C-arom), 149.1 (dt, J<sub>C-Rh</sub> = 4.3, J<sub>C-P</sub> = 1.6, Carom SiClPh<sub>2</sub>), 137.2 (s, CH-arom SiClPh<sub>2</sub>), 131.5 (vt,  $N = 5.4$ , Carom), 131.4 (s, CH-arom), 127.4 (s, CH-arom), 127.3 (s, CH-arom SiClPh<sub>2</sub>), 126.8 (s, CH-arom SiClPh<sub>2</sub>), 124.5 (vt,  $N = 15.0$ , C-arom), 124.4 (vt,  $N = 4.0$ , CH-arom), 34.2 (s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 31.0 (s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 25.5 (dvt,  $J_{\text{Rh}-\text{C}}$  = 2.9, N = 21.2, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 20.3 (vt, N = 8.1, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 17.9 (s, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (121.49 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ , 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 49.4 (d, J<sub>P-Rh</sub> = 153.1). <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (59.63 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ , 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 49.2 (dt,  $J_{\text{Si-Rh}} = 82$ ,  $J_{\text{Si-P}} = 22$ ).

 $Isomerization$  of  $IrHCl(SiHPh<sub>2</sub>){xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)}$  (5) to  $IrH<sub>2</sub>(SiClPh<sub>2</sub>){xant(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)}$  (9). 5 (100 mg, 0.11 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (3 mL) and heated at 50 °C for 48 h. After this time (the reaction was periodically checked by  ${}^{1}{\rm H}$  and  ${}^{31}{\rm P}{\{}^{1}{\rm H}{\}}$  NMR spectroscopy) the solution was evaporated to ca. 0.2 mL to afford a white residue. Addition of pentane afforded a white solid that was washed with pentane  $(1 \times 1 \text{ mL})$  and dried in vacuo. Yield: 60 mg (60%). Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{39}H_{52}ClIrOP_2Si$ : C, 54.82; H, 6.13. Found: C, 54.85; H, 6.12. HRMS (electrospray,  $m/z$ ): calcd. for  $C_{39}H_{51}ClIrOP_2Si$  $[M - H]$ <sup>+</sup>: 853.2490; found: 853.2421. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu$ (Ir-H) 2035 (w);  $\nu$ (C−O−C) 1093 (m). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.08 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 293 K, δ): 8.37 (d,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.0, 4H, CH SiClPh<sub>2</sub>), 7.29 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.0, 4H, CH SiClPh<sub>2</sub>), 7.18 (t, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.0, 2H, CH SiClPh<sub>2</sub>), 7.11 (m, 2H, CHarom), 6.92 (dd,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.4,  $J_{H-H}$  = 1.3, 2H, CH-arom), 6.86 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.4, 2H, CH-arom), 2.32 (m, 4H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.15 (dvt,  $J_{H-H} = 7.3$ ,  $N = 15.8$ , 12H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.13 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.08 (dvt, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 6.8, N = 14.2, 12H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), -4.90 (t, J<sub>H−P</sub> = 16.6, 2H, Ir-H).  $N = 14.2$ , 12H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), −4.90 (t, J<sub>H−P</sub> = 16.6, 2H, Ir−H).<br><sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75.46 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 293 K, δ): 156.9 (vt, N = 10.4, Carom), 145.1 (s, C-arom SiClPh<sub>2</sub>), 137.3 (s, CH-arom SiClPh<sub>2</sub>), 132.4 (vt,  $N = 5.1$ , C-arom), 130.1 (s, CH-arom SiClPh<sub>2</sub>), 128.2 (s, CHarom), 126.6 (s, CH-arom), 126.5 (s, CH-arom SiClPh<sub>2</sub>), 124.7 (vt, N  $= 2.8$ , CH-arom), 124.5 (vt,  $N = 31.2$ , C-arom), 34.4 (s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 29.4 (s,  $C(CH_3)$ ), 24.6 (vt, N = 31.2, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 18.9 (vt, N = 4.5,  $PCH(CH_3)_2$ ), 17.7 (s,  $PCH(CH_3)_2$ ).  ${}^{31}P{}^1H{}$  NMR (121.49 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ , 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 47.9 (s). <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (59.63 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ , 293 K, δ):  $-4.8$  (t,  $J_{\text{Si-P}} = 20$ ).

Reaction of 6 with 2.0 equiv of  $H_2SIPh_2$ . In an NMR tube 6 (23.5 mg, 0.03 mmol) was dissolved in benzene- $d_6$  (0.4 mL), and diphenylsilane (11.5  $\mu$ L, 0.06 mmol) was added. The tube was then immersed in an oil bath at 50  $^{\circ}$ C, and the reaction was monitored by H and  ${}^{31}{\rm P} \{ {}^{1}{\rm H} \}$  NMR spectroscopy. After 26 h, the  ${}^{1}{\rm H}$  and  ${}^{31}{\rm P} \{ {}^{1}{\rm H} \}$ NMR spectra show signals corresponding to 6, 5, and 9 in a 50:26:24 molar ratio.

Reaction of RhH{xant(P<sup>ip</sup>r<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} (8) with HSiEt<sub>3</sub> at Low Temperature: Spectroscopic Detection of  $RhH_2(SiEt_3)\{xant (PPr_2)_2$ } (10). A screw-top NMR tube containing a solution of 8 (26.7) mg, 0.05 mmol) in toluene- $d_8$  and cooled at 195 K was treated with HSiEt<sub>3</sub> (7.8  $\mu$ L, 0.05 mmol). Immediately the NMR tube was introduced in a NMR probe precooled at 258 K. The immediate and quantitative conversion to  $RhH_2(SiEt_3)\{xant(P^iPr_2)_2\}$  (10) was observed by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectroscopies (in solution at this temperature  $12$  was observed as a minor product with time).  $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400.13 MHz,  $C_7D_8$ , 258 K,  $\delta$ ): 7.06 (m, 2H, CH-arom), 6.93 (dd,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.5,  $J_{H-H}$  = 1.2, 2H, CH-arom), 6.85 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.5, 2H, CH-arom), 2.44 (m, 4H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.46 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.7, 9H, Si(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.32 (dvt, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 8.4, N = 15.9, 12H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.24 (m, 6H, Si(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.16 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.10 (dvt, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 6.7,  $N = 13.5, 12H, PCH(CH_3)_2), -5.63$  (dt,  $J_{H-Rh} = 17.6, J_{H-P} = 18.0, 2H$ , RhH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.62 MHz, C<sub>7</sub>D<sub>8</sub>, 258 K,  $\delta$ ): 156.8 (vt, N = 11.5, C-arom), 133.7 (vt, N = 4.7, C-arom), 129.0 (s, CH-arom), 125.0  $(s, CHarrow.)$ , 123.5 (vt,  $N = 3.9$ , CH-arom), 122.7 (vt,  $N = 26.2$ , Carom), 34.8 (s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 26.2 (br,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 25.0 (s, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 19.4 (vt,  $N = 7.9$ ,  $PCH(CH_3)_2$ ), 18.2 (br,  $PCH(CH_3)_2$ ), 16.8 (s,  $\text{Si}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3)_3)$ , 10.7 (s,  $\text{Si}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3)_3)$ .  $\text{^{31}P(^1H)}$  NMR (161.98 MHz,  $C_7D_8$ , 258 K,  $\delta$ ): 61.6 (d, J<sub>P-Rh</sub> = 126). <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (79.49 MHz,  $C_7D_8$ , 258 K,  $\delta$ ): 33.0 (dt,  $J_{Si-Rh} = 33$ ,  $J_{Si-P} = 9$ ).

Reaction of RhH{xant(P $Pr_{2}$ ) $_{2}$ } (8) with HSiPh $_{3}$  at Low Temperature: Spectroscopic detection of  $RhH_2(SiPh_3)\{xant (PPr_2)_2$  (11). A solution of HSiPh<sub>3</sub> (12.9 mg, 0.05 mmol) in 0.5 mL of toluene- $d_8$  was added to a screw-top NMR tube containing 8 (27) mg, 0.05 mmol) and cooled at 195 K. Immediately the NMR tube was introduced into a NMR probe precooled at 258 K. The immediate and quantitative conversion to  $RhH_2(SiPh_3)\{xant(P^iPr_2)_2\}$  (11) was observed by  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{31}P\{ {}^{1}H \}$  NMR spectroscopies (in solution at this temperature 13 was observed as a minor product with time).  $^{1}H$ NMR (400.13 MHz, C<sub>7</sub>D<sub>8</sub>, 258 K,  $\delta$ ): 7.53 (d, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 5.8, 6H, CHarom), 7.27 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.2, 6H, CH-arom), 7.22 (m,, 2H, CH-arom), 7.19 (d, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 1.9, 3H, CH-arom), 6.95 (d, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.5, 2H, CHarom), 6.84 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.5, 2H, CH-arom), 2.08 (m, 4H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.24 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.01 (dvt, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.8, N = 15.4, 12H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.95 (dvt,  $J_{H-H}$  = 6.3, N = 14.1, 12H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), -5.02 (dt,  $J_{H-Rh}$  = 19.3,  $J_{\text{H-P}}$  = 16.1, 2H, RhH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.62 MHz, C<sub>7</sub>D<sub>8</sub>, 258 K,  $\delta$ ): 157.1 (vt,  $N = 11.4$ , C-arom), 148.9 (s, C-arom), 138.4 (s, CHarom), 136.1 (s, CH-arom), 133.8 (vt,  $N = 4.3$ , C-arom), 130.0 (s, CHarom), 129.3 (s, CH-arom), 128.3 (s, CH-arom), 123.9 (vt,  $N = 6.6$ , CH-arom), 122.9 (vt,  $N = 26.0$ , C-arom), 35.0 (s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 29.8 (s,  $C(CH_3)$ , 25.1 (vt,  $N = 19.7$ ,  $PCH(CH_3)$ <sub>2</sub>), 20.5, 17.7 (both s, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (161.98 MHz, C<sub>7</sub>D<sub>8</sub>, 258 K,  $\delta$ ): 59.3 (d,  $J_{\text{P-Rh}}$  = 120). <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (79.49 MHz, C<sub>7</sub>D<sub>8</sub>, 258 K, δ): 9.4 (dt,  $J_{\text{Si-Rh}} = 42, J_{\text{Si-P}} = 12.$ 

Reaction of RhH{xant(P<sup>ip</sup>r<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} (8) with HSiEt<sub>3</sub> at Room<br>Temperature: Preparation of Rh(SiEt<sub>3</sub>){xant(P<sup>ip</sup>r<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} (12). Triethylsilane (33  $\mu$ L, 0.20 mmol) was added to a solution of 8 (100.0 mg, 0.18 mmol) in toluene (3 mL). After the resulting solution was stirred for 5 min at room temperature, it was evaporated to dryness to afford a red residue. Addition of pentane afforded a red solid that was washed with pentane  $(2 \times 0.5 \text{ mL})$  and dried in vacuo. Yield: 70.0 mg (58%). Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{33}H_{55}OP_2RhSi$ : C, 59.99; H, 8.39. Found: C, 59.90; H, 8.20. HRMS (electrospray, m/z): calcd. for  $C_{33}H_{56}OP_2RhSi$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 661.2625; found: 661.2612. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu$ (C−O−C) 1102 (m). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.13 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 293 K, δ): 7.33 (m, 2H, CH-arom), 7.12 (d,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.5, 2H, CH-arom), 6.92 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.5, 2H, CH-arom), 2.52 (m, 2H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.56 (t, J<sub>H–H</sub> = 7.5, 9H, Si(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.39 (m, 12H PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> + 6H Si(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.27 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.10 (dvt,  $J_{H-H}$  = 6.7, N = 13.2, 12H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>). 1.27 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.10 (dvt, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 6.7, N = 13.2, 12H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>).<br><sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.62 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 155.9 (vt, N = 13.6, Carom), 131.8 (vt,  $N = 4.0$ , C-arom), 130.9 (s, CH-arom), 126.5 (s, CH-arom), 126.7 (vt, N = 12.5, C-arom), 123.9 (s, CH-arom), 34.4 (s,  $C(CH_3)_{2}$ , 30.4 (s,  $C(CH_3)_{2}$ ), 26.6 (dvt,  $J_{C-Rh} = 3.3$ ,  $N = 20.7$ , PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 20.6 (vt, N = 4.2, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 18.5 (s, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 13.7 (dt,  $J_{C-Rh}$  = 5.6,  $J_{C-P}$  = 3.2, Si( $CH_2CH_3$ )<sub>3</sub>), 11.1 (s,  $\text{Si}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3)_{3}$ ).  ${}^{31}\text{P}({}^{1}\text{H})$  NMR (161.98 MHz,  $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$ , 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 46.0 (d,  $J_{\rm P-Rh} = 171.3$ ). <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (59.63 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 25.0  $(dt, J<sub>Si-Rh</sub> = 54, J<sub>Si-P</sub> = 19).$ 

Reaction of RhH{xant(P<sup>ip</sup>r<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} (8) with HSiPh<sub>3</sub> at Room Temperature: Preparation of  $Rh(SiPh_3)(xant(P^{\prime}Pr_2)_2)$  (13). Triphenylsilane (54.0 mg, 0.20 mmol) was added to a solution of 8 (100.0 mg, 0.18 mmol) in toluene (3 mL). After the resulting solution was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, it was evaporated to dryness to afford a dark residue. Addition of pentane afforded a red solid that was washed with pentane  $(2 \times 1 \text{ mL})$  and dried in vacuo. Yield: 120.0 mg (81%). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>45</sub>H<sub>55</sub>OP<sub>2</sub>RhSi: C, 67.15; H, 6.89. Found: C, 66.76; H, 6.62. HRMS (electrospray,  $m/z$ ): calcd. for  $C_{45}H_{56}OP_2RhSi$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 805.2625; found: 805.2616. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu$ (C−O−C) 1103 (m). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.13 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, 293 K, δ): 8.38 (d,  $J_{H-H}$  = 8.2, 6H, CH SiPh<sub>3</sub>), 7.30 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.5, 6H, CH SiPh<sub>3</sub>), 7.21 (d, JH−<sup>H</sup> = 7.5, 3H, CH SiPh3), 7.20 (m, 2H, CH-arom), 7.12 (d,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.6, 2H, CH-arom), 6.88 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.6, 2H, CH-arom), 1.63 (m, 4H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.28 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.06 (dvt, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.2, N = 16.6, 12H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.99 (dvt,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.0, N = 13.0, 12H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75.46 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 155.9 (vt,  $N = 13.8$ , C-arom), 149.1 (dt, J<sub>C−Rh</sub>= 2.7, J<sub>C−P</sub> = 2.3, C-arom SiPh<sub>3</sub>), 138.5 (s, CH-arom SiPh<sub>3</sub>), 131.7 (vt, N = 5.1, C-arom), 131.2 (s, CHarom), 126.8 (s, CH-arom SiPh<sub>3</sub>), 126.7 (s, CH-arom), 126.6(s, CHarom SiPh<sub>3</sub>), 125.6 (dvt, J<sub>C−Rh</sub> = 1.8, N = 14.5, C-arom), 124.1 (vt, N = 3.5, CH-arom), 34.4 (s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 30.5 (s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 25.5 (dvt, J<sub>C−Rh</sub> = 3.0, N = 19.4, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 20.5 (vt, N = 8.4, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 17.9 (s, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (121.50 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 44.7 (d,  $J_{\rm P-Rh}$  = 159). <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (59.63 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 14.4 (dt,  $J_{\text{Si-Rh}} = 66, J_{\text{Si-P}} = 21.$ 

Reaction of Rh(SiClPh<sub>2</sub>){xant(P<sup>ip</sup>r<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>} (7) with NaBAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O: Preparation of [RhH{Si(OH)Ph<sub>2</sub>}{xant(PPr<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}]BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub> (14). Sodium tetrakis{3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl}borate (NaBAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>-2H<sub>2</sub>O) (150 mg, 0.17 mmol) was added to a red solution of 7 (100 mg, 0.13 mmol) in fluorobenzene (3 mL). After being stirred for 1 h at room temperature, the resulting yellowish solution was evaporated to dryness, affording a yellowish residue. The addition of dichloromethane afforded a suspension that was filtered through Celite to remove the sodium salts. The solution thus obtained was evaporated to dryness. Addition of pentane afforded a white solid that was washed with pentane  $(3 \times 1 \text{ mL})$  and dried in vacuo. Yield: 160.0 mg  $(76%)$ . Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{71}H_{64}BF_{24}O_2P_2RhSi$ : C, 53.00; H, 4.00. Found: C, 53.40; H, 4.42. HRMS (electrospray,  $m/z$ ): calcd. for  $C_{39}H_{52}O_2P_2RhSi$ [M]<sup>+</sup>: 745.2261; found: 745.2294. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu$ (O-H) 3643 (w);  $\nu$ (C−O−C) 1090 (m). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.13 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 233 K,  $\delta$ ): 7.80−7.30 (m, 28H, CH-arom), 3.25 (s, 1H, OH), 2.49 (m, 4H,  $PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ ), 1.91 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.75 (m, 4H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.34 (m, 3H CH<sub>3</sub> + 6H PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.04 (m, 6H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.97 (m, 6H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.21 (m, 6H, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), -17.43 (dt, J<sub>H-Rh</sub> = 39.4,  $J_{\text{H-P}}$  = 12.5, 1H, Rh−H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75.47 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 233 K, δ): 162.2 (q,  $J_{C-B}$  = 49.9, C-B Ar<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>), 157.8 (vt, N = 12.7, C-arom), 140.2 (dt,  $J_{C-Rh} = 1.3$ ,  $J_{P-C} = 2.7$ , C-arom Si(OH)Ph), 135.2 (s, CHarom  $Ar_4^F$ ), 134.3 (vt,  $N = 5.7$ , C-arom), 131.4 (s, CH-arom  $Si(OH)Ph$ ), 130.9 (s, CH-arom Si(OH)Ph), 130.4 (s, C-arom  $Ar_4^F$ ), 129.7 (s, CH-arom), 129.3 (qq,  $J_{C-F} = 31.4$ ,  $J_{C-B} = 2.9$ , C-CF<sub>3</sub> Ar<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>), 128.3 (s, CH-arom Si $(OH)Ph_2$ ), 127.8 (vt,  $N = 5.5$ , CH-arom), 125.0  $(q \, J_{C-F} = 272, \, CF_3 \, Ar^F_A)$ , 119.2 (vt, N = 25.6, C-arom), 117.9 (spt,  $J_{C-F}$  = 3.7, CH-arom Ar<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>), 35.8 (s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 34.2 (s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 26.8 (vt,  $N = 27.4$ , PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 25.2 (s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 23.5 (vt,  $N = 18.8$ , PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 20.3, 20.0, 18.2, 17.0 (all s, PCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (121.50 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 233 K,  $\delta$ ): 52.1 (d, J<sub>P-Rh</sub> = 119).

<sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (59.63 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 233 K):  $\delta$  26.1 (dt, J<sub>Si-Rh</sub> = 32,  $J_{\text{Si}-\text{P}} = 7$ ).

Reactions of Alcoholysis of Diphenylsilane Catalyzed by  $\textsf{RhH}\{\textsf{Si}(\textsf{OH})\textsf{Ph}_2\} \{\textsf{xant}(\textsf{P}^{\mathsf{i}}\textsf{Pr}_2\}_2\} \textsf{BAr}^{\textsf{F}}_{\textsf{4}} \textsf{(14)}.$  In a typical procedure, the alcohol (except PhOH, that was dissolved in 200  $\mu$ L of toluene) (1.57 mmol) was added via syringe to a solution of the catalyst  $(2.6 \times 10^{-3}$ mmol),  $H_2SiPh_2$  (1.57 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) placed into a 25 mL flask attached to a gas buret and immersed in a 32 °C bath, and the mixture was vigorously shaken (500 rpm) during the run. The reaction was monitored by measuring the volume of the evolved hydrogen with time until hydrogen evolution stopped. A representation of gas evolution versus time is included as Supporting Information. The solution was then passed through a column (silica gel) to remove the catalyst. Removal of the solvent gave the silyl ether. The product was analyzed by  ${}^{1}\textrm{H}$ ,  ${}^{13}\textrm{C} \{ {}^{1}\textrm{H} \}$ , and  ${}^{29}\textrm{Si} \{ {}^{1}\textrm{H} \}$  [NMR](#page-10-0) [spectroscopy.](#page-10-0)

Spectroscopic Data of the Products of the Monoalcoholysis.  $HSi(OMe)Ph_2$ <sup>[</sup>H NMR (300.13 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 7.58 (dd,  $L_{11} = 76$  L<sub>11</sub> and CH-arom) 7.42–7.24 (m, 6H, CH-arom)  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.6,  $J_{H-H}$  = 1.9, 4H, CH-arom), 7.42–7.24 (m, 6H, CH-arom), 5.37 (s, 1H, Si-H), 3.54 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75.47 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K, δ): 134.8 (s, CH-arom), 133.8 (s, C-arom), 130.5 (s, CH-arom), 128.2 (s, CH-arom), 52.5 (s, O-CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>29</sup>Si $\{^1H\}$  NMR  $(59.63 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, 293 \text{ K}, \delta)$ : −14.5 (s).

 $HSi(OEt)Ph_2$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.13 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 7.62 (dd,  $\mu$  = 7.7 L<sub>1</sub>  $\mu$  = 1.8 4H CH-arom). 7.42–7.34 (m 6H CH-arom).  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.7,  $J_{H-H}$  = 1.8, 4H, CH-arom), 7.42–7.34 (m, 6H, CH-arom), 5.41 (s, 1H, Si-H), 3.84 (q,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.0, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.24 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.0, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75.47 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 134.8 (s, CH-arom), 134.3 (s, C-arom), 130.4 (s, CH-arom), 128.1 (s, CHarom), 60.7 (s, O-CH<sub>2</sub>), 18.3 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (59.63 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): −17.6 (s).

HSI(O'BUPH<sub>2</sub>. H NMK (400.13 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K, 0): 7.00 (dd,<br>J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.8, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 1.7, 4H, CH-arom), 7.39–7.32 (m, 6H, 2H, CH-Bu)Ph<sub>2</sub>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.13 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 7.60 (dd,  $\delta$ ,  $L_{11} = 17.4$ H, CH-arom). 7.39–7.32 (m. 6H, 2H, CHarom), 5.40 (s, 1H, Si-H), 2.19 (t,  $J_{H-H}$  = 6.5, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.57 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.36 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.86 (t, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.4, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$  134.8 (s, CH-arom), 134.3 (s, C-arom), 130.4 (s, CH-arom), 128.1 (s, CH-arom), 64.7 (s, O-CH<sub>2</sub>), 34.7 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 19.1 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 13.9 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>) . <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (59.63 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): −17.4 (s).

 $H_{\text{H}}$ HSI(O'OCLYI)PN<sub>2</sub>, H NMK (400.13 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K, 0): /.61<br>(dd, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.8, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 1.6, 4H, CH-arom), 7.40–7.33 (m, 6H, CH- $Octy$  $Ph_2$ , <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.13 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 7.61<br> $I = 7.8$  L<sub>1</sub>  $\ldots = 1.6$  4H CH-arom) 7.40–7.33 (m 6H CHarom), 5.40 (s, 1H, Si-H), 3.75 (t,  $J_{H-H} = 6.4$ , 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.58 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.35–1.15 (m, 10H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.86 (t,  $J_{H-H} = 6.7$ , 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>). CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.35−1.15 (m, 10H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.86 (t, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 6.7, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 134.8 (s, CH-arom), 134.3 (s, C-arom),130.4 (s, CH-arom), 128.1 (s, CH-arom), 65.1 (s, O-CH<sub>2</sub>), 32.5, 32.0, 29.4, 25.9, 22.8 (all s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 14.3 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (59.63 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): −17.4 (s).

 $HSi(OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph)Ph<sub>2</sub> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.13 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 7.62  
and L<sub>1</sub> = 7.9 L<sub>2</sub> = 1.5.4H CH-arom) 7.41–7.28 (m. 11H. CH-$ (dd,  $J_{H-H}$  = 7.9,  $J_{H-H}$  = 1.5, 4H, CH-arom), 7.41–7.28 (m, 11H, CHarom), 5.47 (s, 1H, Si-H), 4.83 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.61) MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 140.2 (s, C-arom), 134.8 (s, CH-arom), 133.8 (s, C-arom), 130.6, 128.4, 128.2, 127.4, 126.9 (all s, CH-arom), 66.7 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (59.63 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): -16.1 (s).

HSI(OPI)PH<sub>2</sub>. H NMK (300.13 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K): 0 7.00 (ad,<br>J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.6, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 1.9, 4H, CH-arom), 7.43–7.29 (m, 6H, CH-arom), Pr)Ph<sub>2</sub>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.13 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$  7.60 (dd, 6 L<sub>1</sub>,  $v = 1.9$  4H CH-arom), 7.43–7.29 (m, 6H, CH-arom) 5.44 (s, 1H, SiH), 4.15 (sept,  $J_{H-H}$  = 6.1, 1H, CH), 1.21(d,  $J_{H-H}$  = 6.1, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75.47 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K):  $\delta$  135.0 (s, Carom), 134.8 (s, CH-arom), 130.3 (s, CH-arom), 128.1 (s, CH-arom), 67.5 (s, O-CH), 25.4 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (59.63 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): -20.5 (s).

 $HSi(OCy)Ph_2$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.13 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 7.61 (dd,  $\mu$  = 7.8 L<sub>1</sub>  $\mu$  = 1.7 4H CH-arom). 7.39–7.31 (m 6H CH-arom). J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.8, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 1.7, 4H, CH-arom), 7.39–7.31 (m, 6H, CH-arom), 5.38 (s, 1H, Si-H), 3.72 (m, 1H, CH), 1.57, 1.77–1.11 (m, 10H, CH<sub>2</sub>). 5.38 (s, 1H, Si-H), 3.72 (m, 1H, CH), 1.57, 1.77−1.11 (m, 10H, CH<sub>2</sub>).<br><sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K, δ): 135.0 (s, C-arom), 134.7 (s, CH-arom), 130.3 (s, CH-arom), 128.1 (s, CH-arom), 73.1 (s, O-CH), 35.4, 25.7, 24.2 (all s,  $CH_2$ ) . <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (59.63 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ):  $-20.9$  (s).

 $H$ SI(O BU)PN<sub>2</sub>. H NMK (400.13 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K, 0): 7.00 (dd,<br>J<sub>H−H</sub> = 7.7, J<sub>H−H</sub> = 1.8, 4H, CH-arom), 7.40–7.30 (m, 6H, CH-arom), Bu)Ph<sub>2</sub>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.13 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 7.60 (dd, 7. L<sub>1</sub>,  $\mu$  = 1.8. 4H CH-arom). 7.40–7.30 (m. 6H CH-arom). 5.55 (s, 1H, Si-H), 1.31 (s, 9H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl3, 293 K, δ): 136.2 (s, C-arom), 134.6, 130.0, 128.0 (all s, CH-

arom), 73.8 (s, O-C), 31.7 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>29</sup>Si $\{^1H\}$  NMR (59.63 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): −29.5 (s).

 $HSi(OPh)Ph_2$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.13 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 7.65 (d,  $\mu = 64.4$ H CH-arom), 7.41–7.28 (m, 7H, CH-arom), 7.18–7.12 J<sub>H−H</sub> = 6.4, 4H, CH-arom), 7.41–7.28 (m, 7H, CH-arom), 7.18–7.12 (m, 2H, CH-arom), 6.92 (m, 2H, CH-arom), 5.72 (s, 1H, Si-H). (m, 2H, CH-arom), 6.92 (m, 2H, CH-arom), 5.72 (s, 1H, Si-H).<br><sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): 155.5 (s, C-arom), 134.8 (s, CH-arom), 133.0 (s, C-arom), 130.8 (s, C-arom), 129.8 (s, CH-arom), 128.3 (s, CH-arom), 122.0 (s, CH-arom), 119.4 (s, CHarom). <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (59.63 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K,  $\delta$ ): -19.8 (s).

Structural Analysis of Complexes 3, 6, 7, 9, 12, and 14. Crystals suitable for the X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow diffusion of pentane into solutions of 3 and 6 in toluene, of diethyl ether into solutions of 14 in dichloromethane, and by slow evaporation of benzene (7) or pentane (9 and 12). X-ray data were collected on a Bruker Smart APEX (3, 6, 7) and Bruker Apex II CCD (9, 12, 14) difractometers equipped with a normal focus, 2.4 kW sealed tube source (Mo radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) operating at 50 kV and 30 (7, 12) or 40 (3, 6, 9, 14) mA. Data were collected over the complete sphere by a combination of four sets. Each frame exposure time was 10 s (7, 14), 20 s (3, 6), 30 s (9), or 40 s (12) covering 0.3° in  $\omega$ . Data were corrected for absorption by using a multiscan method applied with the SADABS program.<sup>29</sup> The structures were solved by the Patterson (Rh atom of 3, 7, 12, and 14, and Ir atoms of 6 and 9) or direct methods and conventi[on](#page-11-0)al Fourier techniques and refined by full-matrix least-squares on  $F^2$  with SHELXL97.<sup>30</sup> Anisotropic parameters were used in the last cycles of refinement for all nonhydrogen atoms. The hydrogen atoms were observed [or c](#page-11-0)alculated and refined freely or using a restricted riding model. Hydride ligands were observed in the difference Fourier maps but refined with restrained bond length. One of the benzene crystallization molecules of 7 and two of the  $CF_3$  groups of the  $BAr^F_4$  anion of 14 were found to be disordered. These disordered atoms were refined isotropically with restrained geometry. For all structures, the highest electronic residuals were observed in the close proximity of the metal centers and make no chemical sense.

Crystal Data for 3.  $C_{39}H_{52}CIOP_2RhSi·0.5C_7H_8$ ,  $M_W$  811.26, colorless, prism  $(0.15 \times 0.13 \times 0.09)$ , monoclinic, space group  $C2$ / c, a: 31.6766(18) Å, b: 11.6863(7) Å, c: 22.6325(13) Å,  $\beta$  =  $107.2060(10)^\circ$ ,  $V = 8003.2(8)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $Z = 8$ ,  $D_{\text{calc}}$ : 1.347 g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $F(000)$ : 3400, T = 100(2) K,  $\mu$  0.635 mm<sup>-1</sup>. 39319 measured reflections (2 $\theta$ : 4−57°,  $\omega$  scans 0.3°), 9550 unique ( $R_{int}$  = 0.0454); minimum/ maximum transmission factors 0.748/0.862. Final agreement factors were  $R^1 = 0.0471$  (7392 observed reflections,  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ) and  $wR^2 =$ 0.1203; data/restraints/parameters 9550/0/436; GOF = 1.056. Largest peak and hole 1.683 and  $-0.504 \text{ e}/\text{\AA}^3$ . .

Crystal Data for 6.  $C_{33}H_{56}ClIrOP_2Si$ ,  $M_W$  786.46, colorless, prism  $(0.18 \times 0.07 \times 0.06)$ , monoclinic, space group  $C2/c$ , *a*: 38.867(3) Å, *b*: 9.4817(8) Å, c: 18.9847(16) Å,  $\beta$  = 90.5580(10)°, V = 6995.9(10) Å<sup>3</sup>, ,  $Z = 8$ ,  $D_{\text{calc}}$ : 1.493 g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $F(000)$ : 3200, T = 100(2) K,  $\mu$  4.043 mm<sup>-1</sup> . 31 121 measured reflections (2θ: 4−57°, ω scans 0.3°), 8313 unique  $(R<sub>int</sub> = 0.0428)$ ; minimum/maximum transmission factors 0.582/ 0.862. Final agreement factors were  $R^1$  = 0.0441 (7026 observed reflections,  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  and  $wR^2 = 0.1140$ ; data/restraints/parameters 8313/1/368; GOF = 1.064. Largest peak and hole 4.199 and −2.541  $e/\mathrm{A}^3$ . .

Crystal Data for 7.  $C_{39}H_{50}CIOP_2RhSi·2C_6H_6$ ,  $M_W$  919.40, red, prism (0.22  $\times$  0.13  $\times$  0.09), triclinic, space group  $P\overline{1}$ , a: 10.2599(7) Å, b: 11.9553(8) Å, c: 20.3304(14) Å,  $\alpha$  = 77.8960(10)°,  $\beta$  = 84.2760(10)<sup>o</sup>,  $\gamma = 71.9240(10)$ <sup>o</sup>,  $V = 2316.3(3)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $Z = 2$ ,  $D_{\text{calc}}$ : 1.318 g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $F(000)$ : 964, T = 120(2) K,  $\mu$  0.557 mm<sup>-1</sup>. 27059 measured reflections (2θ: 2−57°,  $\omega$  scans 0.3°), 10623 unique (R<sub>int</sub> = 0.0386); minimum/maximum transmission factors 0.642/0.862. Final agreement factors were  $R^1$  = 0.0460 (8775 observed reflections, I >  $2\sigma(I)$ ) and  $wR^2 = 0.0940$ ; data/restraints/parameters 10623/0/488; GOF = 1.071. Largest peak and hole 0.747 and  $-0.793$  e/Å<sup>3</sup>. .

Crystal Data for 9.  $C_{39}H_{52}Cl IrOP_2Si·1/4(C_5H_{12})$ ,  $M_W$  872.52, colorless, irregular prism  $(0.16 \times 0.14 \times 0.10)$ , monoclinic, space group  $C_2/c$ , a: 23.228(3) Å, b: 23.110(3) Å, c: 17.543(2) Å,  $\beta$  = 122.110(2)°,  $V = 7976.6(16)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $Z = 8$ ,  $D_{calc}$ : 1.453 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, F(000): 3540, T = 100(2) K,  $\mu$  3.554 mm<sup>-1</sup>. 43 448 measured reflections (2 $\theta$ : <span id="page-10-0"></span>2−59°,  $ω$  scans 0.3°), 10458 unique ( $R_{int} = 0.0488$ ); minimum/ maximum transmission factors 0.487/0.704. Final agreement factors were  $R^1$  = 0.0323 (7537 observed reflections,  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ) and  $wR^2$  = 0.0804; data/restraints/parameters 10458/5/435; GOF = 0.941. Largest peak and hole 2.390 and  $-1.020 \text{ e}/\text{\AA}^3$ . .

Crystal Data for 12.  $C_{33}H_{55}OP_2RhSi$ ,  $M_W$  660.71, dark red, prism  $(0.17 \times 0.12 \times 0.07)$ , orthorhombic, space group  $P2(1)2(1)2(1)$ , a: 8.5450(9) Å, b: 18.3095(19) Å, c: 22.353(2) Å,  $V = 3497.3(6)$  Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4, D<sub>calc</sub>: 1.255 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, F(000): 1400, T = 100(2) K,  $\mu$  0.637 mm<sup>-1</sup>.37 385 measured reflections (2 $\theta$ : 3–59°,  $\omega$  scans 0.3°), 9055 unique (R<sub>int</sub>)  $= 0.0629$ ; minimum/maximum transmission factors  $0.747/0.944$ . Final agreement factors were  $R<sup>1</sup> = 0.0337$  (7333 observed reflections, I  $> 2\sigma(I)$ ) and  $wR^2 = 0.0665$ ; Flack parameter 0.44(2); data/restraints/ parameters  $9055/0/357$ ; GOF = 0.955. Largest peak and hole 1.056 and  $-0.457 \text{ e}/\text{\AA}^3$ . .

Crystal Data for 14.  $C_{71}H_{64}BF_{24}O_2P_2RhSi\cdot OC_4H_{10}$ ,  $M_W$  1683.09, colorless, prism (0.23  $\times$  0.22  $\times$  0.17), triclinic, space group PI, a: 10.1457(10) Å, b: 19.559(2) Å, c: 19.979(2) Å,  $\alpha = 87.073(2)$   $^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta =$ 88.661(2) °,  $\gamma = 77.015(2)$  °,  $V = 3858.0(7)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $Z = 2$ ,  $D_{\text{calc}}$ : 1.449 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, F(000): 1716, T = 100(2) K, μ 0.382 mm<sup>-1</sup>. 42965 measured reflections (2θ: 3–59°,  $\omega$  scans 0.3°), 19600 unique ( $R_{\text{int}} = 0.0360$ ); minimum/maximum transmission factors 0.778/0.944. Final agreement factors were  $R^1$  = 0.0498 (14559 observed reflections,  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ) and  $wR^2 = 0.1389$ ; data/restraints/parameters 19600/18/978; GOF = 1.062. Largest peak and hole 1.491 and  $-0.839$  e/Å<sup>3</sup>. .

# ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

CIF files giving positional and displacement parameters, crystallographic data, and bond lengths and angles of compounds 3, 6, 7, 9, 12, and 14. NMR spectra of complexes 10 and 11 and a plot of hydrogen evolution versus time for the monoalcoholysis of diphenylsilane catalyzed by complex 14. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

### ■ [AUTHOR INF](http://pubs.acs.org)ORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: maester@unizar.es.

#### **Notes**

The auth[ors declare no com](mailto:maester@unizar.es)peting financial interest.

# ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support form the MINECO of Spain (Projects CTQ2011-23459 and Consolider Ingenio 2010 CSD2007- 00006), the Diputación General de Aragón (E-35), FEDER, and the European Social Fund is acknowledged. We are very grateful to Dr. Enrique Oñ ate for collecting the X-ray diffraction data.

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Albrecht, M.; van Koten, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3750. (b) van der Boom, M. E.; Milstein, D. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1759. (c) Singleton, J. T. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 1837. (d) The Chemistry of Pincer Compounds; Morales-Morales, D.; Jensen, C. M., Eds.; Elsevier Science: Amsterdam: The Netherlands, 2007. (e) Benito-Garagorri, D.; Kirchner, K. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 201. (f) Whited, M. T.; Grubbs, R. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1607. (g) Choi, J.; MacArthur, A. H. R.; Brookhart, M.; Goldman, A. S. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1761. (h) Haibach, M. C.; Kundu, S.; Brookhart, M.; Goldman, A. S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 947.

(2) Asensio, G.; Cuenca, A. B.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Medio-Simón, M.; Oliván, M.; Valencia, M. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 8665.

(3) Esteruelas, M. A.; Honczek, N.; Oliván, M.; Oñate, E.; Valencia, M. Organometallics 2011, 30, 2468.

(4) Alós, J.; Bolaño, T.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Oliván, M.; Oñate, E.; Valencia, M. Inorg. Chem. 2013, 52, 6199.

(5) Recent findings on Rh(POP) chemistry: (a) Goldman and coworkers have described the synthesis and reactivity of related rhodium complexes with  $xant(P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  and 2,5-bis((di-tert-butylphosphino) methyl)furan. See: Haibach, M. C.; Wang, D. Y.; Emge, T. J.; Krogh-Jespersen, K.; Goldman, A. S. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 3683. (b) Weller and co-workers have reported on the hydroacylation of alkynes catalyzed by Rh-DPEphos complexes. See: Pawley, R. J.; Huertos, M. A.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Weller, A. S.; Willis, M. C. Organometallics 2012, 31, 5650. (c) Haynes and co-workers have isolated an acetyl-Rh-xantphos complex during the study of the mechanism of methanol carbonylation. See: Williams, G. L.; Parks, C. M.; Smith, C. R.; Adams, H.; Haynes, A.; Meijer, A. J. H. M.; Sunley, G. J.; Gaemers, S. Organometallics 2011, 30, 6166. (d) Julian and Hartwig have discovered a Rh-POP catalyst for the intramolecular hydroamination of aminoalkenes. See: Julian, L. D.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 13183.

(6) Esteruelas, M. A.; Oliván, M.; Vélez, A. Inorg. Chem. 2013, 52, 5339.

(7) Corey, J. Y. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 863.

(8) (a) Roy, A. K. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 2008, 55, 1. (b) Normand, A. T.; Cavell, K. J. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 2781. (c) Troegel, D.; Stohrer, J. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2011, 255, 1440.

(9) See for example: (a) Esteruelas, M. A.; Herrero, J.; López, F. M.; Martín, M.; Oro, L. A. Organometallics 1999, 18, 1110. (b) Díaz, J.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Herrero, J.; Moralejo, L.; Oliván, M. J. Catal. 2000, 195, 187. (c) Esteruelas, M. A.; Herrero, J.; Oliván, M. Organometallics 2004, 23, 3891. (d) Yang, J.; Brookhart, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12656. (e) Yang, J.; Brookhart, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 175.

(10) See for example: (a) Goikhman, R.; Aizenberg, M.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 10894. (b) Field, L. D.; Messerle, B. A.; Rehr, M.; Soler, L. P.; Hambley, T. W. Organometallics 2003, 22, 2387. (c) Chandrasekhar, V.; Boomishankar, R.; Nagendran, S. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 5847. (d) Muraoka, T.; Abe, K.; Haga, Y.; Nakamura, T.; Ueno, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 15365.

(11) (a) Yang, J.; White, P. S.; Schauer, C. K.; Brookhart, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4141. (b) Yang, J.; White, P. S.; Brookhart, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17509. (c) Park, S.; Brookhart, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 640.

(12) (a) Calimano, E.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 9226. (b) Calimano, E.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 11161. (c) Calimano, E.; Tilley, T. D. Organometallics 2010, 29, 1680. (d) Calimano, E.; Tilley, T. D. Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 9250.

(13) Gatard, S.; Chen, C.-H.; Foxman, B. M.; Ozerov, O. V. Organometallics 2008, 27, 6257.

(14) Goikhman, R.; Aizenberg, M.; Ben-David, Y.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. Organometallics 2002, 21, 5060.

(15) (a) Johnson, C. E.; Eisenberg, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6531. (b) Esteruelas, M. A.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oliván, M.; Oñate, E.; Oro, L. A. Organometallics 1995, 14, 3486. (c) Esteruelas, M. A.; Oliván, M.; Oro, L. A. Organometallics 1996, 15, 814.

(16) See for example: (a) Osakada, K.; Koizumi, T.; Yamamoto, T. Organometallics 1997, 16, 2063. (b) Osakada, K.; Sarai, S.; Koizumi, T.; Yamamoto, T. Organometallics 1997, 16, 3973. (c) Turculet, L.; Feldman, J. D.; Tilley, T. D. Organometallics 2004, 23, 2488. (d) McBee, J. L.; Tilley, T. D. Organometallics 2009, 28, 5072. (e) Hoffmann, F.; Wagler, J.; Bö hme, U.; Roewer, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 2012, 705, 59.

(17) Esteruelas, M. A.; Oro, L. A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1999, 193−195, 557.

(18) See for example: (a) Fernández, M. J.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Covarrubias, M.; Oro, L. A.; Apreda, M.-C.; Foces-Foces, C.; Cano, F. H. Organometallics 1989, 8, 1158. (b) Esteruelas, M. A.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oñate, E.; Oro, L. A.; Rodríguez, L. Organometallics 1996, 15, 823. (c) Vicent, C.; Viciano, M.; Mas-Marzá, E.; Sanaú, M.; Peris, E. Organometallics 2006, 25, 3713. (d) Sangtrirutnugul, P.; Tilley, T. D.

#### <span id="page-11-0"></span>**Inorganic Chemistry Article**

Organometallics 2007, 26, 5557. (e) Bleeke, J. R.; Thananatthanachon, T.; Rath, N. P. Organometallics 2008, 27, 2436.

(19) Square-planar rhodium(I)-silyl complexes are somewhat rare: (a) Thorn, D.; Harlow, R. L. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 2017. (b) Aizenberg, M.; Milstein, D. Science 1994, 265, 359. (c) Hoffmann, P.; Meier, C.; Hiller, W.; Heckel, M.; Riede, J.; Schmidt, U. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 490, 51. (d) Mitchell, G. P.; Tilley, T. D.; Yap, G. P. A.; Rheingold, A. L. Organometallics 1995, 14, 5472. (e) Aizenberg, M.; Ott, J.; Elsevier, C. J.; Milstein, D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 551, 81.

(20) Hendriksen, D. E.; Oswald, A. A.; Ansell, G. B.; Leta, S.; Kastrup, R. V. Organometallics 1989, 8, 1153.

(21) See for example: (a) van der Veen, L. A.; Keever, P. H.; Schoemaker, G. C.; Reek, J. N. H.; Kamer, P. C. J.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M.; Lutz, M.; Spek, A. L. Organometallics 2000, 19, 872. (b) Fox, D. J.; Duckett, S. B.; Flaschenriem, C.; Brennessel, W. W.; Schneider, J.; Gunay, A.; Eisenberg, R. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 7197. (c) Marimuthu, T.; Bala, M. D.; Friedrich, H. B. J. Coord. Chem. 2009, 62, 1407. (d) Jian, Y.; Peng, S.; Li, X.; Wen, X.; He, J.; Jiang, L.; Dang, Y. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2011, 368, 37. (e) Pontiggia, A. J.; Chaplin, A. B.; Weller, A. S. J. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 696, 2870.

(22) (a) Bolañ o, T.; Castarlenas, R.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Oñ ate, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 8850.

(23) Hü bler, K.; Hunt, P. A.; Maddock, S. M.; Rickard, C. E. F.; Roper, W. R.; Salter, D. M.; Schwerdtfeger, P.; Wright, L. J. Organometallics 1997, 16, 5076.

(24) Findlater, M.; Bernskoetter, W. H.; Brookhart, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4534.

(25) See for example: (a) Luo, X. L.; Crabtree, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 2527. (b) Doyle, M. P.; High, K. G.; Bagheri, V.; Pieters, R. J.; Lewis, P. J.; Pearson, M. M. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 6082. (c) Barber, D. E.; Lu, Z.; Richardson, T.; Crabtree, R. H. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 4709. (d) Chang, S.; Scharrer, E.; Brookhart, M. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 1998, 130, 107.

(26) Werner, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 927.

(27) (a) Kim, S.; Chang, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1985, 58, 3669. (b) DSa, B. A.; McLeod, D.; Verkade, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 5057.

(28) Brookhart, M.; Grant, B.; Volpe, A. F., Jr. Organometallics 1992, 11, 3920.

(29) Blessing, R. H. Acta Crystallogr. 1995, A51, 33. SADABS: Areadetector absorption correction; Bruker-AXS: Madison, WI, 1996.

(30) SHELXTL, Package v. 6.10; Bruker-AXS: Madison, WI, 2000. Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 2008, A64, 112.